	Case 2:24-cv-01501-DJC-AC Documen	t 11 Filed 09/17/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOSHUA R. GOOD,	No. 2:24-cv-1501 AC P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
14	SHASTA COUNTY SHERIFF DEPT.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		I
17	By order filed June 13, 2024, the complaint was screened and found to not state a claim	
18	for relief. ECF No. 6. Plaintiff was given thirty days to file an amended complaint and cautioned	
19	that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. <u>Id.</u> at 7.	
20	Plaintiff then requested and was granted an extension of time to file an amended complaint. ECF	
21	Nos. 8, 10. The deadline to file an amended complaint has now passed, and plaintiff has not filed	
22	an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.	
23	Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned and that	
24	plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 183(b), plaintiff was properly served. It is the	
25	plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to	
26	Local Rule 183(b) requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change and permits dismissal of the action for failure to prosecute when a party does not update their address within sixty-three days after mail directed to the party is returned by the postal service. Plaintiff's time for compliance has passed.	
27		
28		
		-

Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall randomly assign a United States District Judge to this action IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the complaint be dismissed for failure to state a claim for the reasons set forth in the June 13, 2024 Screening Order (ECF No. 6). See L.R. 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: September 16, 2024 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 2:24-cv-01501-DJC-AC Document 11 Filed 09/17/24 Page 2 of 2